

OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

After reviewing data collected from **LAKE WINONA, NEW HAMPTON**, the program coordinators have made the following observations and recommendations:

We would like to thank your group for sampling your lake **once** this summer. However, we encourage your monitoring group to sample **additional** times each summer. Typically we recommend that monitoring groups sample **three times** per summer (once in **June, July**, and **August**). We understand that the number of sampling events you decide to conduct per summer will depend upon volunteer availability, and your monitoring group's water monitoring goals and funding availability. However, with a limited amount of data it is difficult to determine accurate and representative water quality trends. Since weather patterns and activity in the watershed can change throughout the summer, from year to year, and even from hour to hour during a rain event, it is a good idea to sample the lake at least once per month over the course of the season.

If you are having difficulty finding volunteers to help sample, or to pick-up or drop-off equipment at one of the laboratories, please give the VLAP Coordinator a call and we will try to help you work out an arrangement.

As part of the state's lake survey program, DES biologists performed a comprehensive lake survey on **LAKE WINONA** in **NEW HAMPTON** this summer. Publicly-owned recreational lakes in the state are surveyed approximately every ten to fifteen years. In addition to the tests normally carried out by VLAP, biologists tested for certain indicator metals and nitrogen, created a map of the lake bottom contours (referred to as a bathymetric map), and mapped the abundance and distribution of the aquatic plants along the shoreline. DES biologists will also sample the lake once during the Winter of 2005-2006. Some data from this lake survey have been included in this report and has been added to the historical database for your lake. If you would like a complete copy of the raw data from the lake survey, please contact the DES Limnology Center at (603) 271-3414 or (603) 271- 2658. A final report should be available in 2008 and a copy will be available at any state library.

We encourage your monitoring group to formally participate in the DES Weed Watchers program, a volunteer program dedicated to monitoring lakes and ponds for the presence of exotic aquatic plants. This program only involves a small amount of time during the summer months. Volunteers survey their waterbody once a month from **June** through **September**. To survey, volunteers slowly boat, or even snorkel, around the perimeter of the waterbody and any islands it may contain. Using the materials provided in the Weed Watchers Kit, volunteers look for any species that are of suspicion. After a trip or two around the waterbody, volunteers will have a good knowledge of its plant community and will immediately notice even the most subtle changes. If a suspicious plant is found, the volunteers will send a specimen to DES for identification. If the plant specimen is an exotic, a biologist will visit the site to determine the extent of the problem and to formulate a management plan to control the nuisance infestation. Remember that early detection is the key to controlling the spread of exotic plants.

If you would like to help protect your lake or pond from exotic plant infestations, contact Amy Smagula, Exotic Species Program Coordinator, at 271-2248 or visit the Weed Watchers web page at www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/exoticspecies/survey.htm.

FIGURE INTERPRETATION

- **Figure 1 and Table 1:** Figure 1 (Appendix A) shows the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column. Table 1 (Appendix B) lists the maximum, minimum, and mean concentration for each sampling season that the lake has been monitored through VLAP.

Chlorophyll-a, a pigment found in plants, is an indicator of the algal abundance. Because algae are usually microscopic plants that contain chlorophyll-a, and are naturally found in lake ecosystems, the chlorophyll-a concentration measured in the water gives an estimation of the algal concentration or lake productivity. **The median summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 4.58 mg/m³.**

The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration **increased** from **July** to **August**.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the 2005 chlorophyll-a mean is **greater than** the state median and the similar lake median (for more information on the similar lake median, refer to Appendix F).

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data (the bottom graph) shows that the mean annual chlorophyll-a concentration has **not significantly changed** since monitoring began. Specifically, the chlorophyll-a concentration has **fluctuated between approximately 1.8 and 8.0 mg/m³** and has **not continually increased or decreased** since **1986**. (Note: Please refer to Appendix E for the detailed statistical analysis explanation and data print out.)

While algae are naturally present in all lakes, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. In freshwater lakes, phosphorus is the nutrient that algae depend upon for growth. Algal concentrations may increase as nonpoint sources of phosphorus from the watershed increase, or as in-lake phosphorus sources increase (such as sediment phosphorus releases, known as internal loading). Therefore, it is extremely important for volunteer monitors to continually educate all watershed residents about activities within the watershed that affect phosphorus loading and lake quality.

- **Figure 2 and Table 3:** Figure 2 (Appendix A) shows the historical and current year data for lake transparency. Table 3 (Appendix B) lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data for each sampling season that the lake has been monitored through VLAP.

Volunteer monitors use the Secchi-disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure water clarity (how far a person can see into the water). Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment from erosion, as well as the natural colors of the water. **The median summer transparency for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 3.2 meters.**

The current year data (the top graph) show that the in-lake transparency **decreased** from **July** to **August**.

It is important to note that as the chlorophyll concentration **increased** from **July** to **August**, the transparency **decreased**. We typically expect this **inverse** relationship in lakes. As the amount of algal cells in the water **increases**, the depth to which one can see into the water column typically **decreases**.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the 2005 mean transparency is **greater than** the state median and is **slightly greater than** the similar lake median (refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median).

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data (the bottom graph) shows that the mean annual transparency has **not significantly changed** since monitoring began. Specifically, the transparency has **fluctuated between approximately 4.1 and 6.5 meters** and has **not continually increased or decreased** since **1985**. (Note: Please refer to Appendix E for the detailed statistical analysis explanation and data print out.)

Typically, high intensity rainfall causes sediment erosion to flow into lakes and streams, thus increasing turbidity and decreasing clarity. Efforts should continually be made to stabilize stream banks, lake shorelines, disturbed soils within the watershed, and especially dirt roads located immediately adjacent to the edge of tributaries and the lake. Guides to Best Management Practices designed to reduce, and possibly even eliminate, nonpoint source pollutants, such as sediment loading, are available from DES upon request.

- **Figure 3 and Table 8:** The graphs in Figure 3 (Appendix A) show the amount of epilimnetic (upper layer) phosphorus and hypolimnetic (lower layer) phosphorus; the inset graphs show current year data. Table 8 (Appendix B) lists the annual maximum, minimum, and median concentration for each deep spot layer and each tributary since the lake has joined VLAP.

Phosphorus is the limiting nutrient for plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's freshwater lakes and ponds. Excessive phosphorus in a lake can lead to increased plant and algal growth over time. **The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 12 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.**

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration **decreased slightly** from **July** to **August**.

The historical data show that the 2005 mean epilimnetic phosphorus concentration is **less than** the state median and is **approximately equal to** the similar lake median (refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median).

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration **increased** from **July** to **August**.

The turbidity of the hypolimnion (lower layer) sample was ***slightly elevated*** on the **August** sampling event (**2.4 NTUs**). It is important to point out that the hypolimnetic turbidity has been ***at least slightly elevated*** on most sampling events during previous sampling seasons. This suggests that the lake bottom is covered by a thick organic layer of sediment which is easily disturbed. When the lake bottom is disturbed, sediment, which typically contains attached phosphorus, is released into the water column. When collecting the hypolimnion sample, make sure that there is no sediment in the Kemmerer Bottle before filling the sample bottles.

The historical data show that the 2005 mean hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration is ***less than*** the state median and the similar lake median (refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median).

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data shows that the phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) and the hypolimnion (lower layer) has ***not significantly changed*** since monitoring began. Specifically, the epilimnetic phosphorus concentration has ***fluctuated between approximately 3 and 20 ug/L*** and the hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration has ***fluctuated between approximately 8 and 17 ug/L*** since **1987**. (Note: Please refer to Appendix E for the detailed statistical analysis explanation and data print out.)

One of the most important approaches to reducing phosphorus loading to a waterbody is to continually educate watershed residents about its sources and how excessive amounts can adversely impact the ecology and the recreational, economical, and ecological value of lakes and ponds. Phosphorus sources within a lake's watershed typically include septic systems, animal waste, lawn fertilizer, road and construction erosion, and natural wetlands.

TABLE INTERPRETATION

➤ **Table 2: Phytoplankton**

Table 2 (Appendix B) lists the current and historical phytoplankton species observed in the lake. Specifically, this table lists the three most dominant phytoplankton species observed in the sample and their relative abundance in the sample.

The dominant phytoplankton species observed in the **August** sample were ***Dinobryon*** (golden-brown), ***Chrysosphaerella*** (golden-brown), and ***Asterionella*** (diatom).

Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing season (Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding seasonal plankton succession). Diatoms and golden-brown algae are typical in New Hampshire’s less productive lakes and ponds.

➤ **Table 4: pH**

Table 4 (Appendix B) presents the in-lake and tributary current year and historical pH data.

pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 6.0 limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.0 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The median pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire’s lakes and ponds is **6.6**, which indicates that the surface waters in the state are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report.

The mean pH at the deep spot this season ranged from **6.24** in the hypolimnion to **6.93** in the epilimnion, which means that the water is ***slightly acidic***.

It is important to point out that the pH in the hypolimnion (lower layer) was ***lower (more acidic)*** than in the epilimnion (upper layer). This increase in acidity near the lake bottom is likely due the decomposition of organic matter and the release of acidic by-products into the water column.

Due to the presence of granite bedrock in the state and acid deposition (from snowmelt, rainfall, and atmospheric particulates) in New Hampshire, there is not much that can be done to effectively increase lake pH.

➤ **Table 5: Acid Neutralizing Capacity**

Table 5 (Appendix B) presents the current year and historical epilimnetic ANC for each year the lake has been monitored through VLAP.

Buffering capacity (ANC) describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input. The median ANC value for New Hampshire’s lakes and ponds is **4.9 mg/L**, which indicates that many lakes and ponds in the state are at least “moderately vulnerable” to acidic inputs. For a more detailed

explanation, please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report.

The mean Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) of the epilimnion (the upper layer) was **5.5 mg/L** this season, which is ***slightly greater than*** the state median. In addition, this indicates that the lake is ***moderately vulnerable*** to acidic inputs (such as acid precipitation).

➤ **Table 6: Conductivity**

Table 6 (Appendix B) presents the current and historical conductivity values for tributaries and in-lake data. Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current (which is determined by the number of negatively charged ions from metals, salts, and minerals in the water column). The median conductivity value for New Hampshire’s lakes and ponds is **40.0 uMhos/cm**. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report.

The mean annual conductivity in the epilimnion at the deep spot this season was **71.96 uMhos/cm**, which is ***greater than*** the state median.

The conductivity has ***increased*** in the lake and the inlet tributaries since monitoring began. Typically, sources of increased conductivity are due to human activity. These activities include failed or marginally functioning septic systems, agricultural runoff, and road runoff (which contains road salt during the spring snow melt). New development in the watershed can alter runoff patterns and expose new soil and bedrock areas, which could contribute to increasing conductivity. In addition, natural sources, such as iron and manganese deposits in bedrock, can influence conductivity.

We recommend that your monitoring group conduct a shoreline conductivity survey of the lake and the inlet tributaries to help pinpoint the sources of ***elevated*** conductivity.

To learn how to conduct a shoreline or tributary conductivity survey, please refer to the 2004 “Special Topic Article” or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 8: Total Phosphorus**

Table 8 (Appendix B) presents the current year and historical total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations. Phosphorus is the nutrient that limits the algae’s ability to grow and reproduce. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The total phosphorus concentration was **slightly elevated (16 ug/L)** in the **North Inlet** sample on the **August** sampling event. The turbidity of this sample was **slightly elevated (1.27 NTUs)** which suggests that the stream bottom may have been disturbed while sampling or that soil erosion is occurring in this area of the watershed. Since this station has had a history of **elevated** and **fluctuating** total phosphorus concentrations and turbidity levels, we recommend that your monitoring group conduct a stream survey and storm event sampling along this inlet so that we can determine what may be causing the elevated levels.

For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report "Special Topic Article" or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 9 and Table 10: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Data**

Table 9 (Appendix B) shows the dissolved oxygen/temperature profile(s) for the 2005 sampling season. Table 10 (Appendix B) shows the historical and current year dissolved oxygen concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer). The presence of dissolved oxygen is vital to fish and amphibians in the water column and also to bottom-dwelling organisms. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

On the **August** sampling event, the dissolved oxygen concentration was greater than **100%** saturation at **4** and **5** meters at the deep spot. Wave action from wind can also dissolve atmospheric oxygen into the upper layers of the water column. Layers of algae can also increase the dissolved oxygen in the water column, since oxygen is a by-product of photosynthesis. Considering that the depth of the photic zone (depth to which sunlight can penetrate into the water column) was approximately **5** meters on this date (as shown by the Secchi-disk transparency), and that the metalimnion (the layer of rapid decrease in water temperature and increase in water density – a place where algae are often found) was located between approximately **4** and **8** meters, we suspect that an abundance of algae in the metalimnion caused the oxygen super saturation.

The dissolved oxygen concentration was **lower in the hypolimnion (lower layer) than in the epilimnion (upper layer)** at the deep spot of the lake on the **July** and **August** sampling events. It is also important to point out that the hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen concentration was **lower** in **August** than it was in **July**.

As stratified lakes age, and as the summer progresses, oxygen typically becomes **depleted** in the hypolimnion by the process of decomposition. Specifically, the loss of oxygen in the hypolimnion results primarily from the process of biological breakdown of organic matter (i.e.; biological organisms use oxygen to break down organic matter), both in the water column and particularly at the bottom of the lake where the water meets the sediment.

During this season, and many past sampling seasons, the lake has had a lower dissolved oxygen concentration and a higher total phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) than in the epilimnion (upper layer). These data suggest that the process of **internal phosphorus loading** is occurring in the lake. When oxygen levels are depleted to less than 1 mg/L in the hypolimnion (**as it was this season and in many past seasons**), the phosphorus that is normally bound up with metals in the sediment may be re-released into the water column. Since an internal source of phosphorus in the lake may be present, it is even more important that watershed residents act proactively to minimize phosphorus loading from the watershed.

➤ **Table 11: Turbidity**

Table 11 (Appendix B) lists the current year and historical data for in-lake and tributary turbidity. Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to the “Other Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

As discussed previously, the turbidity of the hypolimnion (lower layer) sample was **slightly elevated** on the **August** sampling event. In **addition**, the hypolimnetic turbidity has been **at least slightly elevated** on most sampling events during previous sampling seasons. This suggests that the lake bottom is covered by a thick organic layer of sediment which is easily disturbed. When the lake bottom is disturbed, sediment, which typically contains attached phosphorus, is released into the water column. When collecting the hypolimnion sample, make sure that there is no sediment in the Kemmerer Bottle before filling the sample bottles.

Also discussed previously, the turbidity of the North Inlet sample in **August** was **slightly elevated** which suggests that the stream bottom may have been disturbed while sampling or that soil erosion is occurring in this area of the watershed. This station has had a history of **elevated** and **fluctuating** total phosphorus concentrations and turbidity levels. We recommend that your monitoring group conduct a stream survey and storm event sampling along this inlet so that we can determine what may be causing the elevated levels of

phosphorus and turbidity.

For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report “Special Topic Article” or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 12: Bacteria (*E.coli*)**

Table 12 lists the current year and historical data for bacteria (*E.coli*) testing. (Please note that Table 12 now lists the maximum and minimum results for this season and for all past sampling seasons.) *E. coli* is a normal bacterium found in the large intestine of humans and other warm-blooded animals. *E.coli* is used as an indicator organism because it is easily cultured and its presence in the water, in defined amounts, indicates that sewage **MAY** be present. If sewage is present in the water, potentially harmful disease-causing organisms **MAY** also be present.

The *E. coli* concentration in the **Hawkins Pond Inlet** sample on the **August** sampling event was **elevated**. However, the concentration of **110** counts per 100 mL **was not greater than** the state standard of 406 counts per 100 mL for recreational waters that are not designated public beaches.

If you are concerned about *E. coli* levels at this station, your monitoring group should conduct rain event sampling and bracket sampling in this area. This additional sampling may help us determine the source(s) of the bacteria.

For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event and bracketing sampling, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report “Special Topic Article” or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 13: Chloride**

The chloride ion (Cl⁻) is found naturally in some surfacewaters and groundwaters and in high concentrations in seawater. Research has shown that **elevated** chloride levels can be toxic to freshwater aquatic life. In order to protect freshwater aquatic life in New Hampshire, the state has adopted **acute and chronic** chloride criteria of **860 and 230 mg/L** respectively. The chloride content in New Hampshire lakes is naturally low, generally less than 2 mg/L in surface waters located in remote areas away from habitation. Higher values are generally associated with salted highways and, to a lesser extent, with septic inputs. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The **epilimnion** and **hypolimnion** at the deep spot were sampled for chloride during the **July** sampling event. The results were **12** and **14 mg/L**, respectively, which is **less than** the state acute and chronic chloride criteria. However, these concentrations are **greater than** what we would normally expect to measure in undisturbed New Hampshire surface waters.

We recommend that your monitoring group conduct chloride sampling in the epilimnion at the deep spot and in the inlets near salted-roadways, particularly in the spring, soon after snow-melt and after rain events during the summer. This will establish a baseline of data that will assist your monitoring group and DES to determine lake quality trends in the future.

Please note that there will be an additional cost for each of the chloride samples and that these samples must be analyzed at the DES laboratory in Concord. In addition, it is best to conduct chloride sampling in the spring as the snow is melting and during rain events.

We also recommend that the association work with watershed residents to reduce the use of salt on private roads, driveways, and walkways. Watershed residents should be encouraged to implement a “low salt diet” for their property. For guidance, please read the 2005 DES Greenworks Article “Salt: An Emerging Issue for Water Quality” (January 2005) which can be accessed at www.des.nh.gov/gw0105.htm or from the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 14: Current Year Biological and Chemical Raw Data**

This table lists the most current sampling season results. Since the maximum, minimum, and annual mean values for each parameter are not shown on this table, this table displays the current year “raw” (meaning unprocessed) data. The results are sorted by station, depth zone (epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion) and parameter.

➤ **Table 15: Station Table**

As of the Spring of 2004, all historical and current year VLAP data are included in the DES Environmental Monitoring Database (EMD). To facilitate the transfer of VLAP data into the EMD, a new station identification system had to be developed. While volunteer monitoring groups can still use the sampling station names that they have used in the past (and are most familiar with), an EMD station name also exists for each VLAP sampling location. For each station sampled at your lake, Table 15 identifies what EMD station name corresponds to the station names you have used in the past and will continue to use in the future.

DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL**Annual Assessment Audit:**

During the annual visit to your lake, the biologist conducted a “Sampling Procedures Assessment Audit” for your monitoring group. Specifically, the biologist observed the performance of your monitoring group while sampling and filled out an assessment audit sheet to document the ability of the volunteer monitors to follow the proper field sampling procedures (as outlined in the VLAP Monitor’s Field Manual). This assessment is used to identify any aspects of sample collection in which volunteer monitors fail to follow proper procedures, and also provides an opportunity for the biologist to retrain the volunteer monitors as necessary. This will ultimately ensure that the samples that the volunteer monitors collect are truly representative of actual lake and tributary conditions.

Overall, your monitoring group did an **excellent** job collecting samples on the annual biologist visit this season! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the biologist to provide additional training. Keep up the good work!

USEFUL RESOURCES

Acid Deposition Impacting New Hampshire’s Ecosystems, NHDES Fact Sheet ARD-32, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/ard/ard-32.htm.

Best Management Practices to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Guide for Citizens and Town Officials, NHDES Booklet WD-03-42, (603) 271-2975.

Canada Geese Facts and Management Options, NHDES Fact Sheet BB-53, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-53.htm.

Erosion Control for Construction in the Protected Shoreland Buffer Zone, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-SP-1, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-1.htm.

Impacts of Development Upon Stormwater Runoff, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WQE-7, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wqe/wqe-7.htm.

Road Salt and Water Quality, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WMB-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-4.htm.